

AN EVOLUTIONARY EQUATION AND LIMIT THEOREM FOR
GENERAL GENETIC SYSTEMS WITHOUT SELECTION

UDC 512.5 + 575.1

V. M. KIRŽNER AND Ju. L. LJUBIČ

There are a large number of works on the limiting behavior of the dynamical systems arising in mathematical genetics (of populations). However, most of them are devoted to the investigation of rather simple (but important for applications) special cases. Certain authors have at various times proposed unifying schemes (see [1]-[3] and their subsequent developments in [4]-[6] respectively). But until now, mainly because of combinatorial difficulties, a common description of the evolution of genetic systems has not been given (although some authors [6]-[8] have come quite close to such a description). In the present note, following the lead of [2], [5], [7], [8], we derive a common equation of evolution for populations with nonoverlapping generations in the absence of selection. On the basis of this result, we then establish a general theorem on the convergence of trajectories and investigate the rate of convergence to equilibrium.

1. Description of the mechanism of splitting of genes. Let $L = \{1, \dots, l\}$ denote the set of loci⁽¹⁾ and let $\alpha_i \geq 2$ denote the number of alleles at the i th locus. We denote by a_{ik} , $i = 1, \dots, l$, $k = 1, \dots, \alpha_i$, the k th gene of the i th locus. Let N be a natural number and let $\sigma = \{g_1, \dots, g_j\}$ be a certain system of subsets of the set $X = \{1, \dots, N\}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, l$ we define on the set σ_i a function $k_i(g)$ with values in the set $\{1, \dots, \alpha_i\}$ of indices of the genes of the i th locus. The structure described uniquely determines a combinatorial monomial

$$g = \prod_{i \in L} \prod_{g \in \sigma_i} a_{k_i(g), i} \quad (1)$$

which is called a *genotype with characteristic σ* . Different genotypes with one and the same characteristic differ in the collections of functions $\{k_i\}$. The set of all genotypes with characteristic σ is denoted by Γ_σ and called a *sector of genotypes*.

The above notions have the following genetic meaning: X is the set of chromosome indices with the possible repetition of identical chromosomes in polyploid genomes being taken into account, σ_i is the set of indices of the chromosomes containing the i th locus and $k_i(g)$ is the index of the gene at the i th locus in the g th chromosome of a genome g .

AMS 1968 subject classification (1970, Primary 92A18.

(¹) For the genetic terminology used by us, see, for example, [5].

Let $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_l\}$ be an arbitrary system of subsets of the set \mathbb{R} and let $\phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l\}$ be a system of mappings $\phi_i: v_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the functions $k_i(\sigma) = k_i(\phi_i, \sigma)$ are defined on the partitions $\sigma_i' = \phi_i^{-1}(\sigma_i)$. The monomial

$$g' = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{i=1}^l k_i(\sigma_i') \quad (2)$$

is called a *subgenotype* of the genotype g . It will be convenient below to treat the passage from a genotype g to a subgenotype g' as the action of a certain operator: $g' = \Delta_{\phi} g$. If in $\phi_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\sigma_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, we will say that the system ϕ belongs to the characteristic σ . We will also say that two systems of mappings ϕ and ψ constitute a partition for the characteristic σ and write $\phi \perp \psi$, if for each $i = 1, \dots, l$ the domains of definition $\text{Dom } \phi_i$ and $\text{Dom } \psi_i$ constitute a partition of the set σ_i .

Suppose given two "parent" characteristics ϵ and ω and an "offspring" characteristic σ . The partitions $\phi \perp \psi$, for which ϕ belongs to the characteristic ϵ and ψ belongs to the characteristic ω are called *admissible* for the triple $(\epsilon, \omega; \sigma)$. Any probability distribution on the set of admissible partitions is called a *linkage distribution* for the given triple of characteristics $(\epsilon, \omega; \sigma)$ and the corresponding probabilities are denoted by $p_{\phi, \psi}(\phi \perp \psi)$. Genetically, a linkage distribution describes the probabilities of all possible recombinations for the given characteristics of the parent and offspring gametes.

The mechanism described includes all of the usually considered methods of formation of gametes in meiosis for parents and offspring of arbitrary polyploid type and for arbitrary sexual differentiation of gametes, in particular, for partial sex-linkage and in the presence of more than two sexes.⁽²⁾

2. Description of the mechanism of intermixing of genes. The intermixing of genes in a population is effected by means of crossings, mutations and migrations. Our description of intermixing is based on the spatially genealogical structure of a population. Suppose two natural numbers x and r are given for each characteristic σ (biologically, x is the number of areas exchanged by migrants and r is the number of different zygote genotypes). By a *spatially genealogical localization* (s.g.l.) of a section of gametes Γ_{σ} is meant a triple $X = (\alpha; \sigma; \beta)$, where $\alpha = 1, \dots, x$ and $\beta = 1, \dots, r$. The number α is called the *area* of the s.g.l., while the number β is called the *origin* of the s.g.l. The pair $(\sigma; \alpha)$ is called the *spatial localization* (s.l.) of the section Γ_{σ} , while the pair $(\sigma; \beta)$ is called the *genealogical localization* (g.l.) of the section Γ_{σ} .

Suppose given two "parent" s.g.l.'s $X = (\alpha; \sigma)$ and $Y = (\omega; \tau)$ and an "offspring" s.g.l. $Z = (\sigma; \tau)$. To each triple $(X, Y; Z)$ there must correspond a certain linkage distribution $p_{X, Y}(\phi_X \perp \phi_Y) = p_{\sigma, \tau}(\phi \perp \psi)$. This determines the contribution of meiosis in the intermixing of genes under crossings.

Mutations are described by assigning to each locus i and each s.g.l. $(\sigma; \alpha; \beta)$ a column stochastic matrix

⁽²⁾ Crossing sexes, though not in the sense of S. Lee [5] but in the usual way, i.e. pairwise.

$$U^{(n)}(\sigma; \alpha, \beta) = (u_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(\sigma; \alpha, \beta))_{\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_\sigma}$$

An element $u_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$ is interpreted as the probability of the gene $a_{\alpha\beta}$ going over into the gene $a_{\beta\alpha}$ under the given s.g.l. We define the full mutation matrix $U(\sigma; \beta)$ by setting⁽³⁾

$$U(\sigma; \beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [U^{(n)}(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)]^{n+1}$$

and that forming the direct sum

$$U(\sigma; \beta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} U(\sigma; \alpha, \beta).$$

Migrations are described by assigning to each g.l. $(\sigma; \beta)$ a row-stochastic matrix

$$V(\sigma; \beta) = (v_{\alpha\sigma}(\sigma; \beta))_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\sigma}$$

An element $v_{\alpha\sigma}(\sigma; \beta)$ is interpreted as the probability of a migration from the area σ into the area α under the given g.l.

The act of intermixing consists of successive acts of mutation and migration, it being assumed below without loss of generality that the act of mutation follows the act of migration.⁽⁴⁾ The matrix of the act of intermixing is defined as $W(\sigma; \beta) = (W_{\alpha\sigma}(\sigma; \beta) \otimes 1_m)W(\sigma; \beta)$, where 1_m is the identity matrix of order $m = |\Gamma_\sigma| = |\Gamma_\alpha|$.

Suppose given a natural number T (the "generation time") and, for each "moment of time" $t = 1, \dots, T$, the matrix $W_t(\sigma; \beta)$ of the act of intermixing during the moment t . The matrix of intermixing per generation is defined as the product $W(\sigma; \beta) = W_T(\sigma; \beta) \dots W_1(\sigma; \beta)$.

3. Evolutionary equation. We will say that the state of a population is given if with each s.g.l. $(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$ there is associated a certain probability distribution $G(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$ for the genes g of the section Γ_σ . This distribution is conveniently written as a formal linear combination

$$G(\sigma; \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma_\sigma} x_g(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)g.$$

The corresponding collection $G(\sigma; \beta) = \{G(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\sigma}$ is called the state of the g.l. $(\sigma; \beta)$. To each g.l. $\bar{\sigma} = (\sigma; \beta)$ there corresponds in a natural way the collection of s.g.l.'s $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\sigma}$, where $X_\alpha = (\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$. Let $P_{X_\alpha, Y_\alpha}(\phi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}})$ denote the matrix $\text{diag}\{P_{X_\alpha, Y_\alpha}(\phi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \dots, P_{X_\alpha, Y_\alpha}(\phi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}})\}$. We linearly extend the operators Δ_α to the formal linear combinations of genes $G(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$ and put

$$\Delta_\alpha G(\sigma; \beta) = \{\Delta_\alpha G(\sigma; \alpha, \beta) \dots \Delta_\alpha G(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)\}.$$

The evolutionary equation for a population determines the state of the population at

(3) This construction is motivated by the independence of the mutations at different loci in the absence of selection.

(4) Also, we note that several successive mutations (migrations) can be combined into a single mutation (migration).

the $(n+1)$ th generation as a function of its state at the n th generation. In "block" notation with respect to the g.l.'s it has the form

$$G_{n+1}(\bar{Z}) = W(\bar{Z}) \sum_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}} q(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}; \bar{Z}) \sum_{\alpha, \beta} P_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}}(\psi_{\alpha}^{\beta}) \Delta_{\alpha} G_n(\bar{X}) \Delta_{\beta} G_n(\bar{Y}); \quad (2)$$

here $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}$ are g.l.'s, $q(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}; \bar{Z})$ is a nonnegative diagonal matrix describing the relative contribution of the states of the g.l.'s \bar{X} and \bar{Y} to the state of the g.l. \bar{Z} , $\sum_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}} q(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}; \bar{Z}) = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1)$, and the multiplication of the vectors in (2) is componentwise.

4. Limit theorem. For any initial state G_1 equation (2) determines a trajectory G_2, G_3, G_4, \dots . To investigate the asymptotic behavior of the trajectories, we apply the method of exact linearization (cf. [2], [5], [7], [8]). We fix a system ν of subsets of the set \bar{K} and consider a set of pairs (\bar{X}, ϕ) in which \bar{X} is a g.l. and ϕ is a system of mappings such that $\text{Dom } \phi = \nu$ and ϕ belongs to the characteristic of \bar{X} . If two pairs (\bar{X}, η) and (\bar{Y}, θ) from the indicated set are given in a definite order, then the following matrix is determined:

$$W_{\nu}(\bar{Z}) \left(\left[\sum_{\bar{X}} q(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}; \bar{Z}) \sum_{\alpha, \beta} P_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}}(\psi_{\alpha}^{\beta}) + \sum_{\bar{X}} q(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}; \bar{Z}) \sum_{\alpha, \beta} P_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}}(\psi_{\alpha}^{\beta}) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\nu, \alpha} \right] \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{Z} = (\alpha, -)$, $W_{\nu}(\bar{Z})$ is found from the identity $\Delta_{\alpha} W(\bar{Z}) \Delta_{\alpha} = W_{\nu}(\bar{Z}) \Delta_{\alpha}$, and the multiplication of the systems of mappings is tensorial. We denote the matrix consisting of the blocks (4) corresponding to all possible $(\bar{X}, \theta), (\bar{Y}, \eta)$ by Q_{ν} .

Theorem 1. For the convergence of all of the trajectories it is necessary and sufficient that all of the matrices Q_{ν} are born eigenvalues $\lambda \neq 1$ for which $|\lambda| = 1$.

We note that when the condition of Theorem 1 is not satisfied, the trajectories converge to a finite limit cycle.

Theorem 2. Under the fulfillment of the condition of Theorem 1 every trajectory converges at the rate of a geometric progression whose ratio is equal to the largest modulus of the eigenvalues of the matrices Q_{ν} in the disk $|\lambda| < 1$.

Physico-Technical Institute of Low Temperatures

Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR

Received 26/JULY/73

Kharkov State University

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Bowen, On the theory of random walks, *Ann. Esperica* **18** (1954), 311-317. MR 15, 815.
2. O. Reiersøl, Geometric algebras studied recursively and by means of differential operators, *Math. Scand.* **10** (1962), 25-45. MR 25 31289.
3. B. Ellison, Limit theorems for random walks in infinite populations, *J. Appl. Probability* **3** (1966), 94-114. MR 34 42093.

4. F. Holten, *Sequences of parent in genetic algebra*, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 489-496. MR 36:21499.

5. Ju. I. Izhik, *Basic concepts and elements of evolution genetics of free populations*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 26 (1971), no. 5 (161), 51-116 = Russian Math. Surveys 26 (1971), no. 5, 51-123.

6. E. Kesten, *Quasistatic transformations: A model for population growth*, I, II, Advances in Appl. Probability 2 (1970), 3-82, 179-226. MR 42:42611, 44223.

7. V. K. Kiselev, *On the behavior of the trajectories of polyphasic genetic systems with migration*, Vychisl. Mat. i Vychisl. Tekhn., vyp. 5, Kazansk, 1972, pp. 141-145. (Russian) RZhMat. 1973:668722.

8. ———, *On the behavior of the trajectories of a class of genetic systems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 209 (1973), 287-290 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 209 (1973), 378-382.

9. S. Lom, *Diferentsijal'nye genotipy*, Kazansk, Kazan, 1971. (Dobro)

Translated by S. SMITH

$$P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n (A_{ik} \cdot P_{kj}(t)) \cdot B_{ij}(t)$$

$$P_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij} \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$